

S/N 10/014,114

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Vij Rajarajan et al.	Examiner:	Thai, Hanh B.
Serial No.:	10/014,114	Group Art Unit:	2163
Filed:	12/11/2001	Docket No.:	40062.0150USU1
		Confirmation No.:	3950

Title:           SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR REPRESENTING AN OBJECT USED IN  
MANAGEMENT OF MULTIPLE NETWORK RESOURCES

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being transmitted electronically via EFS-Web to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 17, 2007.

By: 

Name: Tricia Van Hooser

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Dear Sir:

The applicant acknowledges the allowance of claims 1-13 in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicant points out that the reasons set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that claims 1-13 are allowable. The Applicant agrees with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, dated July 19, 2007, to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. However, the Applicant does not necessarily acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior art does or does not teach. In addition, the Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a

Application No. 10/014,114


claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Further reasons for allowance beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the Applicant's specification. As the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicant seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicant reserves the right to file.



Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.  
P.O. Box 2903  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903  
(303) 357-1632

By:   
Stanley J. Gradisar, Esq., Reg. No. 42,598  
Attorney for Applicant

Date: August 17, 2007